## PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING	G AUTH	ORITY					
To: BRADLEY K. GROFF GARDNER GROFF, P.C.			PCT				
PAPER MILL VILLAGE, BUIL		.3	WR	ITTEN OPINION OF THE			
600 VILLAGE TRACE, SUITE 300 MARIETTA, GEORGIA 30067			INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY				
				(PCT Rule 43bis.1)			
			Date of mailing (day/month/year)	07 JUN 2005			
Applicant's or agent's file reference			FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below				
2G02.2-150		·	See paragraph 2 below				
		International filing date (	'day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year)				
PCT/US04/24408		28 July 2004 (28.07.2004)		28 July 2003 (28.07.2003)			
International Patent Classificatio	n (IPC) o	or both national classificat	ion and IPC				
IPC(7): A61B 17/14 and US Cl.	: 606/181	1					
Applicant							
FACET TECHNOLOGIES, LL	<u>C</u>						
1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:							
Box No. I Basis of the opinion							
Box No. II Pric	Box No. II Priority						
Box No. III No.	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability						
Box No. IV Lac	Lack of unity of invention						
<del></del>	Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement						
Box No. VI Cer	Certain documents cited						
Box No. VII Cer	Certain defects in the international application						
Box No. VIII Cer	Certain observations on the international application						
2. FURTHER ACTION							
If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.							
If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.							
For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.							
3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.							
Name and mailing address of the	ISA/ US	t )	Authorized office	N. sulan			
Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US Commissioner for Patents			Glenn K Dawson	Theath Las			
Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450				-			
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450			Telephone No. 70	03-308-0858			

Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230
Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (January 2004)

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/US04/24408

Box N	o. I Basis of this opinion						
1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.							
	This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).						
2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:							
a.	type of material						
	a sequence listing						
	table(s) related to the sequence listing						
b.	format of material						
	in written format						
	in computer readable form						
c.	time of filing/furnishing						
	contained in international application as filed.						
	filed together with the international application in computer readable form.						
	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.						
3.	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.						
4. Addit	ional comments:						

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US04/24408

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement							
1. Statement							
Novelty (N)	Claims	1-20,22	YES				
	Claims.	21	NO				
Inventive stop (IC)	Claims	1.20.22	YES				
Inventive step (IS)	Claims	<u>1-20,22</u> 21	NO NO				
,							
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims		YES				
	Claims	NONE	NO				
2. Citations and explanations:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
Claim 21 lacks novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as bei	ing anticipate	ed by Sharma, et al. 2002/0082521.					
Sharma discloses a lancet having a plurality of lobes at the distal tip which are pressed to the skin and used to "milk" blood from the injection site.							
Claims 1-20 and 22 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest a lancet with housing having a non-circular opening through which the lancet extends. The opening has a non-circular periphery and includes inwardly and outwardly directed lobes. Kim-2004/0039302 would read on some of the claims, but does not have a filing date before the priority date.							
Claims 1-22 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus have industrial applicability because the subject matter claimed can be made or used in industry.							
			•				
	·						
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,							
		•					
•			•				
•							